

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JHARKHAND AT RANCHI
W.P.(C) No.95 of 2026**

Dhanbad Institute of Technology **Petitioner**

Versus

State of Jharkhand & Ors. **Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajveer Singh, Advocate
Mr. Anurag Raj, Advocate

For the Resp.-State : Mr. M. K. Dubey, AC to A.G

For the Resp.-AICTE : Mr. Ratnesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Resp.-JUT : Dr. A. K. Singh, Advocate
Mr. Sharan Toppo, Advocate

03/Dated: 13th January, 2026

I.A. No.303 of 2026

1. The present interlocutory application has been filed for early hearing of the present writ petition.
2. Since the matter has been taken up today, the present interlocutory application, filed for early hearing, has become infructuous.
3. Accordingly, I.A. No.303 of 2026 stands dismissed as infructuous.

W.P.(C) No.95 of 2026

1. In compliance of order dated 12.01.2026, the respondent No.2 i.e. the Registrar, Jharkhand University of Technology (JUT), Namkum, Ranchi, is physically present before this Court.
2. Heard the parties.
3. The Traffic Police used to remove the 'No Entry/ Parking Board' just to trap the commuters in order to extract fine or extracting illegal money from them.
4. *prima facie*, it appears that the same attitude and approach has been adopted by the Jharkhand University of Technology (JUT).
5. The respondent No.3 i.e. All India Council For Technical Education (AICTE), New Delhi has given approval to the petitioner for the academic session 2025-26 on 30.04.2025. Thus, the students have been trapped and *prima facie*

appears to be a very serious matter, because the future of the students is being destroyed by the State authorities. The students have taken admission, but they are not allowed to appear in the examination.

6. *Prima facie*, it appears to be a corrupt practices and that too by a State authorities.

7. In that view of the matter, the office is directed to add the Union of India through the Director, Central Bureau of Investigation, New Delhi, as party respondent No.4.

8. Issue notice upon respondent No.4.

9. Since Mr. Prashant Pallav, learned ASGI, accepts notice on behalf of newly added respond No.4, no notice is required to be issued.

10. The Central Bureau of Investigation is directed to make investigation to the effect that :-

I. How the students have been trapped by the All India Council For Technical Education (AICTE) and the Jharkhand University of Technology (JUT) ? And

II. Who have played what role in the trapping of the students?

11. The respondent No.4 is directed to submit a investigation report to this Court in a sealed cover within two weeks.

12. The respondent Nos.2 & 3 are directed to co-operate with the CBI during investigation.

13. Office is directed to serve a copy of this order along with the memo of the writ petition to the learned ASGI for the needful.

14. Put up this case on 03.02.2026.

(Rajesh Kumar, J.)

13th January, 2026
Ravi-Chandan/-